

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/644,388	08/19/2003	Robert A. VanTassel	593/2CIP3CON	6130	
1473	7590 . 10/12/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
FISH & NEAVE IP GROUP			LUCCHESI, NICHOLAS D		
ROPES & GRAY LLP 1251 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS FL C3		NET CO	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	, NY 10020-1105	S FL C3	3763		
	,				

DATE MAILED: 10/12/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	_
	10/644,388	VANTASSEL ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Nicholas D. Lucchesi	3763	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	l. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 Au 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under E 	action is non-final. ce except for formal matters, pro		
Disposition of Claims			
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 3-7 and 12 is/are with 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1,2,8-11,13-15 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or			
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the confidence of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11).	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)			
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ite	

Application/Control Number: 10/644,388

Art Unit: 3763

Presentation of Non-Elected Embodiment

Newly submitted claims 3-7,12 are directed to an invention that is independent or distinct from the invention originally claimed for the following reasons: See the office actions in parent application 09/697,628. The threaded embodiment was a non-elected embodiment, and thus cannot be presented.

Since applicant has received an action on the merits for the originally presented invention, this invention has been constructively elected by original presentation for prosecution on the merits. Accordingly, claims 3-7 are withdrawn from consideration as being directed to a non-elected invention. See 37 CFR 1.142(b) and MPEP § 821.03.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1,2,8,9,11,13,14,15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Lesh et al ('144).

Lesh et al discloses an apparatus comprising a filtering membrane 10 which is capable of extending across the ostium of the left atrial appendage, and having a permeable structure which allows blood to flow through the membrane, but inhibits thrombus from passing therethrough, and a support structure 13 defining a cylindrical

Application/Control Number: 10/644,388

Art Unit: 3763

shape and a radially enlarged portion, which is capable of engaging the interior wall of the left atrial appendage.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lesh et al.

Although Lesh et al does not teach the use of barbs on the fingers of the embodiment shown in figures 3A and 3B, Lesh et al does recognize the need for securing the device with barbs, as he includes them in a different embodiment (see col. 11, line 66).

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to use a securing barb on the structure of figures 3A and 3B, in view of Lesh et al's own teaching that barbs may be used for securement purposes.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Nicholas D. Lucchesi at telephone number 571-272-4977.

Application/Control Number: 10/644,388

Art Unit: 3763

OD. LUCCHESI PATENT EXAMINER DY CENTER 3700